

## **Accessory Dwelling Unit Code Amendment**

## **Stakeholder Meeting**

March 10, 2021, 10:00am

## **Virtual Meeting via Teams**

## **Meeting Summary**

## Agenda

	I	nt	$r \cap r$	111 <i>C</i>	tio	no
1.		IIL	ıvı	uu	LIU	

- II. Stakeholder Group Process
- III. Debrief Public Meetings
  - a. What We Heard
- IV. Issue/Solution Discussion on Occupancy
  - a. Break-out groups
  - b. Report Back
- V. Meeting Assessment
- VI. Next Meeting Purpose

## **Participants**

## Staff and Support:

- William Lennertz, Facilitator
- Koren Manning, PDSD
- Daniel Bursuck, PDSD
- Elisa Hamblin, PDSD
- Alexandra Vondeling, Opticos
- Roger Foreman, Opticos
- Alison Miller, HCD
- Ann Chanecka, HCD
- Ariel Fry, Ward 6 Council Office
- Nathalia Untiveros, Mayor's Office
- Sarah Launius, Ward 3
- Mark Holden, Pima County
- Marcos Ysmael, Pima County Housing
- Ryan Stephenson, Pima County Housing

#### Stakeholders:

- Hal Bergsma
- Patrice Lange

- Peter Norback
- Joan Hall
- Mari Jensen
- Bonnie Poulos
- Jim Murphy
- Joanne Osuna
- Colby Henley
- Bill Mackey
- Lisa Bowers
- Gigi Aldridge
- Maggie Tellez
- T VanHook
- Valerie Lane
- Diane Lett
- Dante Archangeli
- Jonathan Bean
- Sharayah Jimenez
- Jason Wong
- Corky Poster

#### Stakeholder Group Process

- Bill Lennertz, Collaborative Design and Innovation LLC, to facilitate stakeholder meetings to keep group on track and make sure the meetings are productive and everyone is heard.
- Bill is a nationally respected designer, city planner and urban designer who builds capacity for
  collaboration by design and transforms how people work together. He is a founder of
  the National Charrette Institute and has worked with communities across the country to solve
  complex problems by harnessing the talents and energies of all interested parties to co-create
  and support a successful outcome

### <u>Debrief Public meetings – Takeaways from the Group</u>

- Owner occupancy requirement how is this different from redlining? Can lead us to repeating histories of segregation. Who gets to live where? Understand this is not the intent goal is to keep out students. But who else gets excluded? We can not control who our neighbors are.
- List captures what we heard at meetings. Curious about owner-occupancy. Challenge is that we are trying to manage behavior. Zoning is a clunky tool for this. How do we do this in way with least harmful side effects.
- Owner-occupancy different thinking. One unit on lot occupied by owner. Guarantee good property management. Issues with absentee landlords.
- Heard residential density is good/residential density is bad. Long standing issue. Some people concerned that increased density would reduce property values.
- Line driven by age
- Classist approach to rental v. ownership. What defines a mini-dorm? Concern that ADUs will be turned into mini-dorms. Need clarification.
- Interesting comment via email. R-2 lots apply ADU when there is a duplex on site. Clarify that we are focused on all residential zones, not just R-1.
- Agree opportunity to add more units in R-2 and R-3 especially.
- How do we know who we are reaching?
  - Some online comments indicate neighborhood staff to share

#### **Revisit Code Amendment Goals**

- Increase supply of affordable housing overall, not just rentals
- Further define climate goal low-carbon, embodied carbon
- Explicitly tie ADUs to reduced sprawl and greater transit usage climate goals
- Measurable goals are good. Should also discuss increased costs that come with more sustainable materials. Consider this in addition to lower energy use
- Current building code has high standards for energy efficiency and water use. Agree with trying to be economically and environmentally prudent. Building codes already factor many of these goals in.
- Additional goal promote mixed-income housing

## **Issue/Solution Discussion**

- Break-out groups discussed top issues related to occupancy:
  - Rental Housing
  - o Group Dwellings
  - Short-term rentals
- What are some potential solutions?
- Brainstorm pros and cons selected solutions
- See compiled notes from break-out groups

# **Meeting Assessment**

What is going well with our meetings?

- Breakout groups (x 2)
- Focus on just a couple issues more manageable
- Good mix of perspectives in break-outs
- Focus on one issue see interconnections and shades of grey
- Space for moderator to write pros and cons

## How can we improve our meetings?

- More specifics on regulations
- Longer meetings in breakouts and overall
- Need a Chat
- Better notetaking and time to process
- Hand raise did not work for all
- Way to introduce people who arrive later
- Materials in advance so people can think about issues

## **Next Meeting Purpose**

- Next meeting will focus on two topics:
  - o Affordable Housing goals and issues
  - o Parking requirements